EXHIBIT A

	1
1	Volume 1, Pages 1-182
2	Exhibits: 1-3
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
5	
6	MAURA O'NEILL, as administrator of the Estate of
7	Madelyn E. Linsenmeir,
8	Plaintiff,
9	vs. CA No. 3:20-cv-30036
10	CITY OF CODINCETED MOTCEC ZANAZANTAN
11	CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, MOISES ZANAZANIAN, REMINGTON McNABB, SHEILA RODRIGUEZ, HAMPDEN COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, and JOHN/JANE DOES
12	NOS. 1-5,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	REMOTE DEPOSITION OF SUSAN W. McCAMPBELL
16	Wodnosday March 27 2024 10:00 a m
17	Wednesday, March 27, 2024, 10:00 a.m.
18	Via Zoom Videoconference
19	Reporter: Kathleen L. Good, CSR, RPR K. L. GOOD & ASSOCIATES
20	Post Office Box 367 Swampscott, Massachusetts 01907
21	Tel. 781-367-0815 Kathleen.Good@verizon.net
22	Rathreen, Goodever 120n, net
23	
24	

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

15

17

18

94

higher. 1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

You know, my experience is that detainees and inmates generally are very poor consumers of healthcare. One of the reasons why they get in trouble with the justice system is often times medical/mental health care. They're not informed consumers.

Frequently, they don't even know how to access care. They don't understand the difference between a nurse practitioner or a PA or a doctor. So if they don't see a doctor, they demand to see a doctor.

There's a whole dynamic that goes on in a booking area that makes generalizations really difficult.

Q. Ms. McCampbell, staying on the same topic of circumstances, if somebody was experiencing chest pain, hypothetically, somebody is experiencing chest pain and they made a complaint of chest pain, and they're denied medical care in response to their complaint of chest pain, would that qualify as impeded or unimpeded access to medical care? MR. VIGLIOTTI: Objection.

96

begins with "she told them "and then going, I guess, another couple of sentences and ending with "she asked multiple times to be taken to medical." 4

Do you see that section I'm talking about?

Yes, I do. Α.

Q. So looking at that phrase, so I'm going to read it into the record. It says:

"She told them that she had pain in her chest. I remember her saying, again, my heart hurts and my chest feels tight, among other things. She asked multiple times to be taken to medical."

So hearing that excerpt, my question 15 is: 16

If Madelyn had complained about chest pain to correctional officers and asked to see medical and they had refused to obtain medical attention for her, would that be consistent with the accepted standard?

Well, really is based on the

credibility of the statement, Mr. Halstead, because this statement was made 1,700 days after 95

You know, these hypotheticals are very 1 difficult to answer because there's a whole environment that goes around them in terms of if somebody is staggering into an emergency room of a hospital and clutching their chest and gasping 5 for air, that's one version of chest pain.

7 Another person comes in and they sprained the muscle that goes across their chest, that's another -- I mean, hypotheticals are truly difficult for me to answer in any way that's informative to you, Mr. Halstead.

(By Mr. Halstead) I want to show you a document that you listed as having been reviewed and I'll share my screen so you can see it.

(Screen shared.)

Do you recognize this document?

Yes, I do. A. 18

Do you know who Haylee Champagne is? Q. 19

A. I believe she was incarcerated with

21 Ms. Linsenmeir.

I want to draw your attention to 22 23 Paragraph 4, specifically at the end of the

third line, the sentence specifically where it

97

the event occurred.

So I sort of reject the premise that has any factual basis to be able to conclude anything for me.

Q. When you say it's based on 5 credibility, what do you mean by that?

I mean that this is a detainee who is in a jail setting, and 1,717 days later, she signs a declaration with specific recollections of detail, and I find that hard to believe, frankly.

So when you say you find that hard 12 Q. to believe, is it your opinion that it's not 13 credible? 14

A. I believe that's what I wrote in my 16 report.

So just to make the record clear, is your answer to my question Yes?

My conclusion was that it was not 19 Α. plausible or realistic or credible. 20

21 So assuming that this statement -this would involve making an assumption but just bear with me. 23

24

99 98 (Marked, Exhibit No. 2, Declaration 1 truthful, would a refusal to provide care in 1 of Haylee Champagne.) that situation be consistent with the accepted 2 (Screen share stopped.) standard? 3 3 Α. It's too much of a leap for me, 4 (Discussion off the record.) 4 MR. HALSTEAD: Next, I would like to Mr. Halstead. 5 5 mark as Exhibit 3, this document, Declaration of Q. Is your answer No? 6 6 MS, OLANOFF: Objection. Alexandria Cox. 7 7 My review of this matter shows that (Marked, Exhibit No. 3, Declaration 8 8 Ms. Linsenmeir had multiple opportunities to of Alexandria Cox.) 9 9 10 provide her concerns to medical staff in the 10 (Screen shared.) Do you see the document on the 11 unit, in the housing unit, staff in the unit. 11 Q. If she had provided her concerns to screen, Ms. McCampbell? 12 12 housing unit staff and medical staff and been Α. Yes. 13 13 refused, which would be a violation of policy at Q. Do you recognize this document? 14 14 WCC, then I would say it doesn't meet an accepted Α. Yes. 15 15 16 level of care. 16 Q. Do you know who Alexandria Cox is? She was incarcerated with But there's too many ifs in this Α. 17 17 sentence regarding Ms. Champagne's statement to Ms. Linsenmeir. 18 18 be able to use that as the basis for any Q. So next I would like to direct your 19 19 conclusion 1,700 days after the event. attention to Paragraph 5. I would like to read 20 20 21 MR. HALSTEAD: So I have another 21 this excerpt into the record. "I and other prisoners tried to 22 document that I want to show you. 22 23 Mark the declaration of Haylee 23 speak up for Madelyn and asked the WCC staff Champagne as Exhibit 2. members to get her medical attention because it 24 24 100 101 Ms. Champagne come out and speak to the seemed like she became too weak and sick to 1 speak up for herself." corrections officers. She would come out; she 2 2 would get her meal; she would sit down. There 3 Do you see that? was no urgency, there was no interaction that I 4 Α. Yes. was able to see in those hours of video. So based on that excerpt, 5 5 Ms. McCampbell, my question to you is: So that's the other thing that resulted 6 6 If correctional officers received in my conclusion about Ms. Champagne's 7 7 requests for medical attention from other declaration. 8 prisoners on Madelyn's behalf and they refused, 9 Ms. Cox's declaration, I think, to me, would that be consistent with the accepted suffers the same thing. This is a lot of detail 10 10 standard? to remember 1,700 days after an event. 11 11 Α. Well, the same comment I had regarding So to answer your question, you know, 12 12 Ms. Champagne's declaration is what I have hypothetical, the staff working in a jail, if 13 13 regarding Ms. Cox's declaration, which is that 14 they were alerted by an inmate, to a sick inmate, 1,700 days later, I find it incredible, and they failed to take action, that would be a 15 15 uncredible to believe that she has this kind of 16 concern. 16 But I'm not thinking that, in my recollection. And she uses phrases like "very 17 17 lethargic," which doesn't sound like language I opinion, that Ms. Champagne's and Ms. Cox's 18 18 very often heard in a jail setting. 19 declarations provide the basis for making that 19 conclusion about Ms. Linsenmeir. 20 Also, let me point out too, I should 20 have mentioned this, when you look at the video, 21 Is the basis for your conclusion 21 that in that instance, that this situation you can see that Ms. Champagne came in and out of 22 22 her cell multiple times during the course that didn't or wasn't sufficient for a correctional 23

24

officer to provide medical care, is that basis

Ms. Linsenmeir was her cellmate, and never did

1

2

5

9

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

102

- 1 rooted in your conclusion that this statement
- 2 of Alexandria Cox isn't credible?
- 3 A. The basis for my conclusion about the 4 credibility of both of these statements are the
- time that has passed between the event and them
- 6 writing these. The language that's used does not
- sound like thousands of inmates' grievances and
- 8 letters that I've read over the years.
- 9 Also, looking at the evidence, the 10 video evidence, that shows that at least
- 11 Ms. Champagne had access to staff and showed no
- 12 urgency or need to speak to them in the hours I
- 13 looked at and I don't know where Ms. Cox was
- 14 housed but, you know, I didn't see any urgency, I
- didn't see detainees rushing up to staff, you
- 16 know, saying, you must come right now, there's a
- 17 sick person. I didn't see any of that.

So when you add that with the 1,700 days that passes between the event and these two declarations, it just raises my concern about the credibility of them.

- Q. So going back to the report -- you have the report in front of you, correct,
- 24 Ms. McCampbell?

18

19

20

21

5

10

11

12

13

104

- the WCC did well with this in terms of itsinteraction.
- 3 Q. How did you go about forming that 4 specific opinion?
 - A. Based on years of supervising booking. I mean, if you look at the policy, you look at what has to happen, and WCC does, in my view, a
- 8 good job of bringing people in and individually
- 9 screening them.

Thankfully for them, they don't have a lot of volume in the women that are coming in, so they have an opportunity to engage them more, talk with them.

- 14 Q. Did anybody assist you in 15 formulating this specific opinion?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Is there a specific authority used to establish accepted practices as it relates to booking?
- A. That's what my other response is.
- 21 There's a variety of documents talking about what
- 22 booking procedures should be. A lot of them
- 23 relate to identification of the incoming
 - 4 arrestee. A lot of them relate to health and

- A. What page are you on there?
- (Screen share stopped.)
- 3 Q. Going back to Page 12, specifically
- 4 Paragraph 3, the booking section.
 - A. Okay.
- 6 Q. So for this procedure, what is the
- 7 basis for your opinion that it's consistent
- with accepted practices?
- A. Which sentence are you looking at?
- 10 Q. So I guess this is the fifth line 11 down, at the end of that sentence, beginning

down, at the end of that sentence, beginning with, it says:

"This is accepted practice in

14 jails."

- A. Okay. I see it. Okay. What's the question?
- Q. What is the basis for your opinion that this policy is consistent with accepted practice?
- A. Because I don't know any other way that a booking operation would go. This is how you do it. You bring the detainee in, put them in front of an officer. You do questions. You talk with them. Some people don't even do that, so I think

105

103

- 1 mental health screening and screening for other
 - conditions that might be violence and otherconditions.

I mean, I don't think you want my lecture on jail operations 101 again. There's just plenty of documentation out there. There's not a single place that you go to learn this.

- Q. So I know there is no one specific authority, but what are some of the accepted authorities on the accepted standard when it comes to booking?
- A. The general standards, you can look at the Commission on Accreditation for Corrections, you can look at those standards; you can look at the PREA standards in terms of sexual safety. Those are just two of them.

There's 37 states that have state standards, so there's 37 more you can look at too. So there's a variety.

Clearly, the medical staff would have an interest in doing screening for medical. The security staff for the violence-related issues. There's not one place that you go to.

Q. Did you consult the Commission on